

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,159	01/20/2004	Satish Parolkar	P24473	6660	
7055	7590 04/08/2005		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			HOOSAIN, ALLAN		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2645		
		,	DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/759,159	PAROLKAR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allan Hoosain	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen 1) Notic 2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/28/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-24 of US 6,704,396 Patent.

Art Unit: 2645

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced US Patent. Since the referenced US Patent and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

All the limitations in claims 1-20 of the instant application are recited in corresponding claims 1-24 of the US Patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5,11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Holden** (US 6,771,639).

As to Claims 1,11,17 with respect to Figures 1-6, **Holden** teaches a method of collecting information, comprising:

Art Unit: 2645

sending an interactive text markup programming language script, using a session initiation protocol (SIP) message, to a communications device, the interactive script including at least a first query and a second query that depends on a response to the first query (Figure 6); and

receiving the response from the communications device, the response being based upon input from a user of the communications device (Figure 6).

As to Claims 2,13, **Holden** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, wherein the received response comprises information of at least one of a location of the communications device, a type of the communications device, a communications format used by the communications device, a communications mode desired by the user of the communications device, a personal identification of the user of the communications device, an account number of the user of the communications device, a password of the user of the communications device, billing information of the user of the communications device, the intent of the user of the communications device, a preferred language of the user of the communications device (Col. 7, lines 60-67).

As to Claims 3,14, **Holden** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, wherein the received response is a textual representation of one of a DTMF tone, VoicexML and HTML speech tags (Col. 8, lines 63-67).

Art Unit: 2645

As to Claims 4,15, **Holden** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, further comprising providing the response to a user of a recipient device (Figure 6).

As to Claims 5,16,18, **Holden** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, the response being additionally based upon information provided by the communications device (Col. 7, lines 60-67).

As to Claim 12, **Holden** teaches the method of interactively pre-screening user information of claim 11, further comprising establishing a communications connection between the communications device and one of a plurality of agent devices, the one of the plurality of agent devices being determined based on the response (Col. 8, lines 1-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

Application/Control Number: 10/759,159

Art Unit: 2645

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPorta et al. (US 5,970,122) in view of Vo et al. (US 6,795,444).

As to Claims 1,6,11,17,19, with respect to Figures 1-3, **LaPorta** teaches a method of collecting information, comprising:

sending MSG 8 (an interactive text markup programming language script), using a session initiation protocol (SIP) message, to a communications device, the interactive script including a lunch request (at least a first query) and lunch request response (a second query that depends on a response to the first query) (Figure 3); and

receiving the response from the communications device, the response being based upon input from a user of the communications device (Figure 3);

LaPorta does not teach the following limitation:

"using a session initiation protocol (SIP) message"

However, it is obvious that LaPorta suggests the limitation. This is because LaPorta teaches sending messages over the world wide web (Col. 4, lines 25-27). Vo teaches sending SIP messages over the world wide web (Figures 1, labels 130,108,138). Having the cited analogous art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add SIP messaging to LaPorta's invention for protocol conversions between networks as taught by Vo's invention in order to provide communications in integrated networks.

As to Claims 2,7,13, **LaPorta** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, wherein the received response comprises information of at least one of a location of the communications device, a type of the communications device, a communications format used by the communications device, a communications mode desired by the user of the communications device, a personal identification of the user of the communications device, an account number of the user of the communications device, a password of the user of the communications device, billing information of the user of the communications device, the intent of the user of the communications device, a preferred language of the user of the communications device (Figure 3, labels 58,60,62).

As to Claims 3,8,14, LaPorta teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, wherein the received response is a textual representation of one of a DTMF tone, VoicexML and HTML speech tags (Figure 3, label 62).

As to Claims 4,9,15, **LaPorta** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, further comprising providing the response to a user of a recipient device (Figure 3, label 50a).

As to Claims 5,10,16,18,20, **LaPorta** teaches the method of collecting information of claim 1, the response being additionally based upon information provided by the communications device (Figure 3 and Col. 11, lines 45-55).

Page 8

As to Claim 12, LaPorta teaches the method of interactively pre-screening user information of

claim 11, further comprising establishing a communications connection between the

communications device and one of a plurality of agent devices, the one of the plurality of agent

devices being determined based on the response (Col. 16, lines 20-34).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Sidhu et al. (US 6,744,759) teach registering users for calling services using SIP.

Gudjonsson et al. (US6,564,261) teach setting up chat sessions using SIP.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Carlyle, Alexandria, VA 22313

(Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Allan Hoosain whose telephone number is (571) 272-7543. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,159

Art Unit: 2645

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Fan Tsang**, can be reached on (571) 272-7547.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Allan Hoosain
Primary Examiner

4/4/05